

#### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/600,552	06/20/2003	Nadav Rave	J1044-20007	6090	
3000 75	10/04/2004		EXAMINER		
CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN, COHEN & POKOTILOW, LTD.			PATEL, KIRAN B		
11TH FLOOR, SEVEN PENN CENTER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHI	IA, PA 19103-2212	3612			
			DATE MAILED 10/04/200		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	m
Office Action Summary		10/600	,552	RAVE ET AL.	-   '
		Examir	ier	Art Unit	
		Kiran B		3612	
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communic	ation appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	)ss
THE MAILING  - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON  - If the period for report of the period fo	D STATUTORY PERIOD FO DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC may be available under the provisions of THS from the mailing date of this commu ply specified above is less than thirty (30) ply is specified above, the maximum state hin the set or extended period for reply we by the Office later than three months aften an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication.  days, a reply within the sutory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a rep statutory minimum of thirty ( I will expire SIX (6) MONTH application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comm	nunication.
Status					
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed	on <u>19 August 20</u>	<u>04</u> .		
2a)⊠ This actio	on is <b>FINAL</b> . 21	o) This action is	non-final.		
•	s application is in condition for accordance with the practic		•	• •	erits is
Disposition of Cla	nims		•		
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-34 is/are pending in the ape above claim(s) 14-34 is/are is/are allowed.  1-13 is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restrictions.	withdrawn from c			
Application Paper	rs				
10)⊠ The draw Applicant Replacem	ification is objected to by the ing(s) filed on 19 August 200 may not request that any object the drawing sheet(s) including the state of the state	<u>14</u> is/are: a)⊠ aco ion to the drawing(s he correction is req	s) be held in abeyance uired if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR	
11) Ine oath	or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-	·152.
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119				
a) ☐ All b) 1. ☐ Ce 2. ☐ Ce 3. ☐ Co	edgment is made of a claim for	ocuments have b ocuments have b f the priority docu al Bureau (PCT R	een received. een received in App ments have been re Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)					
	nces Cited (PTO-892)	0.048)	4) Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PT osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Date			ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

## DETAILED ACTION

Final Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112
The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 1-13, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-13 are confusing and are not clear because claimed limitations, (claim 1, said instrument panel will be resistant to fragmentation in the event that an impact force is applied to said inner layer; claim 11, an expandable air bag forming a portion of a supplemental restraint system mounted adjacent said inner layer; claim 13, the inner layer is reinforced with one or more textiles), are not shown in the figures and/or lacks support in the specification and therefore fails to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These limitations must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). Applicant is requested to go through the application

and ensure that the claimed matter has been described in the specification and shown in the drawing in such a way as to convey to one skilled in the art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 102

Page 3

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Barnes (Re. 36,167).

Regarding claim 1, Barnes (Re. 36,167) discloses in Fig. 1-7 the invention as claimed to include an instrument panel 10 comprising a vinyl outer layer 38 having an inner surface, a foam core 36 of expanded plastic and having an inner surface,

said core secured to said inner surface of said outer layer; an inner layer 34 having an inner surface fixedly secured to a substantial portion of said inner surface of said core to thereby at least partially encapsulate said foam between it and said outer layer.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC \$ 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 2-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barnes (Re. 36,167) in view of the level of ordinary skill of a worker in the art and Gray et al. (5,316,335).

Regarding claims 2-13, Barnes (Re. 36,167) discloses in Fig. 1-7 the invention as claimed.

However, Barnes (Re. 36,167) does not disclose a laminate having an inner ply, outer layer is bilaminate or trilaminate.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,552 Page 5

Art Unit: 3612

It is within the level of ordinary skill of a worker in the art to provide verity of available materials for outer layer, foam, textiles, film, and inner layer as outlined in the specification (for example on page 6).

Gray et al. (5,316,335) discloses in Fig 1-5 a laminate having an inner ply (col 2, lines 53-65), outer layer is bilaminate or trilaminate (col 2, lines 53-65).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention, as disclosed by Barnes (Re. 36,167), to include a laminate having an inner ply, outer layer is bilaminate or trilaminate, as disclosed by Gray et al. (5,316,335), and the level of ordinary skill of a worker in the art, to cost effectively manufacture the fragmentation-resistant instrument panel utilizing known available materials.

# Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to elected claim have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,552 Page 6

Art Unit: 3612

#### Conclusion

3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

be directed to Primary Examiner Kiran B. Patel whose telephone number is 703-305-0254. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:00 to 5:00. The

Application/Control Number: 10/600,552

Art Unit: 3612

Page 7

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

KBlater Kiran B. Patel, P.E.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3612

September 27, 2004